## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
V.  Domingo Martinez-Martinez  Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00163-JTN		
	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – Fir	dings of Fact		
	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
an offense for which a maximum prison term of			
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:		
a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
or local offense.	the the defendant was off release pending that for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.		
·	Findings (A)		
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant			
for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se	r more is prescribed in:		
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar	olished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.		
	e Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange			
	he Reasons for Detention		
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <a></a> clear and convincing		
<ol> <li>Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont</li> <li>Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be re</li> <li>Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to</li> </ol>	leased in any case.		
- 2 s. s. isant may string the loads of the continuing determine to	The search attention enough the enough teachers		

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 15, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	